## Asian Journal of Multidimensional Research

ISSN: 2278-4853 Vol. 11, Issue 6, June 2022 SJIF 2022 = 8.179 A peer reviewed journal

# PRIVATIZATION OF PUBLIC ENTERPRISES AND ITS IMPLICATIONS ON ECONOMIC POLICY AND DEVELOPMENT

### Dr Nayan Deep\*

\*Assistant Professor in Economics, S D Mahila Mahavidyalya Narwana, Haryana INDIA Email id: nayan.anurodh@gmail.com

DOI: 10.5958/2278-4853.2022.00152.5

#### **ABSTRACT**

Since 1951, the Central Public Sector Undertakings (CPSUs) have played an important role in India's economic growth. They were created with the goal of boosting the country's economy and ensuring its independence. When the first five CPSUs were established in 1951, they invested just 29 crores, which has now grown to 348 with a total investment of 16.4 trillion in 2018-19. They began to suffer losses and are now a financial burden on our GDP of roughly 0.16 percent (GDP). The new industrial strategy of 1991 marked the beginning of the government's shift away from investment and toward disinvestment. Since then, disinvestment policies have changed, and privatization's influence on the Indian economy has grown."The Indian economy has been greatly impacted by privatisation. Revenues to the government dropped precipitously during Covid, and the primary approach for reviving the economy was privatisation. Some major businesses in the market may become monopolised through excessive privatisation.

#### **KEYWORDS:** Privatization, Economic Policies, Public Private Conversion.

#### REFERENCES

- **1.** Chowdhury, F. L. ''Corrupt Bureaucracy and Privatisation of Tax Enforcement'', 2006: Pathak Samabesh, Dhaka.
- **2.** "Musselburgh Co-op in crisis as privatization bid fails". Co-operative News. 2005-11-01. Retrieved 2008-05-21.
- **3.** Fernando, Jason. "Going Private Definition". Investopedia. Retrieved 2021-08-05.
- **4.** Dumont, Marvin. "Why Do Public Companies Go Private?". Investopedia. Retrieved 2021-08-05.
- **5.** Edwards, Ruth Dudley (1995). The Pursuit of Reason: The Economist 1843–1993. Harvard Business School Press. p. 946. ISBN 978-0-87584-608-8.
- **6.** Compare Bel, Germà (2006). "Retrospectives: The Coining of 'Privatisation' and Germany's National Socialist Party". Journal of Economic Perspectives. 20 (3): 187–194. CiteSeerX 10.1.1.694.2842. doi:10.1257/jep.20.3.187. S2CID 33815402.

## Asian Journal of Multidimensional Research

ISSN: 2278-4853 Vol. 11, Issue 6, June 2022 SJIF 2022 = 8.179 A peer reviewed journal

- **7.** Kämmerer, Jörn Axel (2001). Privatisierung: Typologie Determinanten Rechtspraxis Folgen. Mohr Siebeck Verlag. p. 7. ISBN 978-3-16-147515-3.
- **8.** Beerman, Jack (2001-01-01). "Privatization and Political Accountability". Fordham Urban Law Journal. 28 (5): 1507.
- **9.** Metzger, Gillian (2003-01-01). "Privatization as Delegation". Colum. L. Rev. 103 (6): 1367–1502. doi:10.2307/3593390. JSTOR 3593390.
- 10. International Handbook on Privatization by David Parker, David S. Saal
- 11. Li & Zheng 2001, p. 241
- 12. Bouye, Thomas M., Manslaughter, markets, and moral economy
- **13.** Bel, Germà (2010-02-01). "Against the mainstream: Nazi privatization in 1930s Germany1" (PDF). The Economic History Review. 63 (1): 34–55. doi:10.1111/j.1468-0289.2009.00473.x. hdl:2445/11716. ISSN 1468-0289. S2CID 154486694.
- **14.** Birrell, Ian (2013-08-15). "Forget the nostalgia for British Rail our trains are better than ever". The Guardian.
- **15.** "Great British Railways: The Williams-Shapps Plan for Rail" (PDF). Assets.publishing.service.gov.uk. Retrieved 5 March 2022.