

THE PROBLEM OF ABSTRACT NOUNS IN FOREIGN LINGUISTICS

Zilola Abdulhayitqizi Alibekova*

*Independent Researcher,
Alisher Navo'i Tashkent State Uzbek Language and Literature University,
UZBEKISTAN
Email id: alibekovazilola91@gmail.com

DOI: 10.5958/2278-4853.2022.00311.1

ABSTRACT

In this article, the factors determining abstract nouns are put forward. In this regard, examples from the scientific works of major figures in world linguistics were analyzed and opinions were studied. In particular, the concept of abstraction (abstract) is one of the most vague and difficult to understand concepts, the problem of its definition, and where the border between the abstract and the concrete is located.

KEYWORDS: *Abstract Nouns, Name, Abstraction, Linguistics, Conceptual.*

LIST OF REFERENCES:

1. Fillmore C. Frames and the Semantics of Understanding // New in Foreign Linguistics. Issue 23. – Moscow: Progress, 1988. – p. 62.
2. Kubryakova E. About one Fragment of the Analysis of the Word “Memory” // Logical Analysis of Language. Cultural Concepts. – Moscow: Nauka, 1991. - S. 85
3. Pankrats Yu. G. Propositional structures and their role in the formation of language units of different levels (on the material of complexly structured verbs of the modern English language). Diss. Dr. Philological Sciences. – Moscow: RAS Institute of Linguistics, 1992.
4. Bates E. Intentions, conventions and symbols // Psycholinguistics – Moscow: Progress, 1984. – p. 95.
5. Busa F., Calzolari N., Lenci A., Pustejovsky J. Building a Semantic Lexicon: Structuring and Generating Concepts. – CiteSeer.IST: Scientific Literature Digital Library. [Online]. Available: <http://citeseer.ist.psu.edu>
6. Stepanov Yu. S. Constants. Dictionary of Russian culture. Research experience. – Moscow: School “Languages of Russian Culture”, 1997.
7. Fillmore C. Main problems of lexical semantics // Foreign Linguistics. III: Per. from English, German, French / Common ed. V. Yu. Rozentsveig, V. A. Zvegintsev, B. Yu. Gorodetsky. – Moscow: Progress Publishing Group, 1999. – p. 348.
8. The new Roget's thesaurus in dictionary form / N. Lewis (ed.). – N.Y.: G.P. Putnam's Sons; A Berkley Book, 1978.

9. Setti A., Caramelli N. Different Domains in Abstract Concepts. 2005. [Online]. Available: <http://www.cogsci.rpi.edu/CSJarchive/Proceedings/2005/docs/p1997.pdf>, Wiemer-Hastings K., Xu Xu. Content Differences for Abstract and Concrete Concepts // Cognitive Science. – № 29. 2005.
10. Oxford Learner's Thesaurus. A dictionary of synonyms. – Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2008.
11. Khursanov, N. I. (2021). Creating a Corpus of Texts. *Journal of Education and Innovative Research*, (4), 1. – Tashkent. – pp. 247-253.
12. Raupova, L., Botirova, A., Musulmanova, N., Kadyrova, H., & Safarova, G. (2020). Logical and Grammatical Relations in Word Categories: The Factor of Difference and Incarnation. *International Journal of Psychosocial Rehabilitation*, 24(04).
13. Davletnazarova, L. B. (2021). Causes of Pragmatic Failure and Ways to avert them in Teaching English Language. *International Journal of Discourse on Innovation, Integration and Education*, 2(2), 53-56.
14. Khursanov, N. I., & Gulyamova, S. B. (2021). Linguistic classifications Related to Discourse and its Classifications. *ISJ Theoretical & Applied Science*, 10 (102), 825-829.
15. Raupova, L. R., Saifullaeva, R. R., Khamraeva, H. H., & Zaripboeva, N. M. (2019). The use of the Linguistic Corpus in Language Teaching. *Academy*, (8(47)), 45-47.
16. Davletnazarova, L. B. (2021). The Critical Analysis of Grammar Activities in Books by Betty S. Azar And Its Importance. *The American Journal of Applied sciences*, 3(01), 45-53.
17. Usmanova, S. R., & Ismatullayeva, N. R. (2020). Expression of Lacunas in Comparative Study of Kinship Terms In Chinese And Uzbek Languages. *Solid State Technology*, 63(6), 4974-4985.