

SCRUTINIZING MATERIALS IN ORGANIZING CLASS FOR HIGH SCHOOL STUDENTS

Rayhona Narzikulova*

*Teacher,
Samarkand State Institute of Foreign Languages,
Email id: narzikulovarayhona@gmail.com

DOI: 10.5958/2278-4853.2022.00037.4

ABSTRACT

This article covers with information on analysis materials above designating effective classes for high school students. Sifting valuable information among the data storage and implementing into classes are the part of research aims. It will be presented that some steps to take into account in picking valid data, especially high school students, which appropriate their level.

KEYWORDS: *Judgment, Material, Curriculum, Analyze, Textbook.*

INTRODUCTION

One of the most essential professional decisions that educators make is deciding which curricular materials to employ. Textbook adoption committees make recommendations that will impact instruction for years to come, and the everyday decisions instructors make about which teaching units or chapters to use and how to use them heavily affect what and how students will be expected to learn. [1]

Such crucial judgments necessitate the use of a solid and dependable approach for assessing the quality of instructional materials. Even a thorough examination of the subjects covered by a textbook or a teaching unit may not be enough to establish if the information will truly assist students in learning that knowledge. What is required is a reasonable procedure for reviewing curricular materials that delves under the surface by concentrating intently on topic suitability and instructional design usefulness. [2,3]

MAIN PART

In the process of designating effective classes, mainly for high school students, many researchers have investigated a great many ways and approaches so that supporting the class much more well-organized. One of them, received as a standard way to sift out valuable materials for teaching, is presented below with some more justifications. [4,5]

Until recently, there was nothing to compare the appropriateness of material and the usefulness of instructional design. As a result of the standards-based reform movement in education, these judgments may now be made with more certainty.

- Identify specific learning goals to serve as the intellectual basis for the analysis.

This is done before diving into any curricular materials. National standards or benchmark papers, such as those described above, as well as state or local standards and curricular frameworks, or

sources similar to them, can be used to identify relevant goals. To be meaningful, the goals must be specific in identifying the information or abilities that students are expected to have. If the number of goals is considerable, a representative sample of them should be chosen for study. [6,7]

- Make a preliminary inspection of the curriculum materials to see whether they are likely to address the targeted learning goals.

If it appears that there is little or no correlation, the materials might be dismissed without further investigation. If the prognosis improves, go on to a content analysis.

- Analyze the curriculum materials for alignment between content and the selected learning goals.

The aim here is to establish whether the substance in the material meets certain learning goals by presenting evidence from the resources, not only whether the topic titles are comparable. Alignment is never problematic at the topic level since most topic heredity, weather, magnetism, and so on lack specificity, making them easy to match. If the findings of this analysis are favorable, reviewers can proceed to the next phase. [8,9]

- Analyze the curriculum materials for alignment between instruction and the selected learning goals.

This entails estimating the extent to which the materials (including their accompanying teacher's guides) reflect what is generally known about student learning and effective teaching, as well as, more importantly, the extent to which they support student learning of the specific knowledge and skills for which a content match has been found. Evidence from the materials must be demonstrated once more. [10,11]

- Summarize the relationship between the curriculum materials being evaluated and the selected learning goals.

The summary can take the form of a profile of the selected objectives in terms of the content and instruction criteria, or it can take the form of a profile of the criteria in terms of the selected goals. A description of strengths and shortcomings should be presented in any scenario. With this information, reviewers may make more informed adoption judgments and offer methods to improve the items under consideration. [12,13]

CONCLUSION

Teachers carefully analyze the resources on the shorter list to discover and note locations where each specified benchmark appears to be targeted (e.g., particular readings, experiments, discussion questions). If many sightings for some or all of the sample benchmarks in the material are discovered, these sightings will be examined more closely in later rounds of the research. If, on the other hand, sightings for a sufficient number of the sample benchmarks cannot be discovered, the item is removed from the list. [14]

Analysis is a more thorough assessment of the relationship between the subject material and the chosen learning objectives, and it entails paying close attention to both ends of the match the particular meaning of the benchmark on one end and the precise aim of the content on the other.

REFERENCES:

1. Khamzaevna RM. In Search Of A Backbone Mechanism In Language, Евразийский Научный Журнал, 2021;(4).
2. Narzullayevna SN, Adashevna MM, Iroda AO. Philosophical And Methodological Analysis Of Organizational Learning Concepts. Academicia: An International Multidisciplinary Research Journal, 2021;11(2):Page 407-411.
3. Sanaqulovna N. Importance of Foreign Language Training of University Teachers in International Inter-University Competition, Academicia: An International Multidisciplinary Research Journal, 2020.
4. Khalilova YN. The Role of Interaction in E-Learning. Belarussia. International Online Conference. 02.01.2022 <https://www.Woconf.com/Index.Php/Irs/Article/View/38>
5. Аслонова ШИ. Проблемы Педагогических Технологий В Обучении Молодёжи В Высших Учебных Заведениях. Интернаука, 2020;(21-1):59-60.
6. Madaminovich TI. The Linguistic Peculiarities And Appropriate Methods of Translation. Восточно-Европейский Научный Журнал, 2017;12-4 (28):52-53.
7. Madaminovich TI, Khusanovich KB, Akhatovna KO, Kholmamatovna BL. Features Of The System Of Formation Of Compensatory Competence Among Agricultural Students As A Means Of Filling In Professional Terminology. International Journal Of Innovative Technology And Exploring Engineering, 2019;8(11):2202-2206.
8. Тухтасинов ИМ. Национально-Культурная Специфика Сложных Слов, Выражающих Внешность И Характер Человека (На Материале Английского И Узбекского Языков). Paradigmata Poznání, 2014;(3):74-78.
9. Тухтасинов ИМ. Сопоставительный Анализ Описания Внешности Человека В Английском И Узбекском Языках (Стилистический Ракурс). Вестник Московского Государственного Лингвистического Университета. Гуманитарные Науки, 2011;(630):105-110.
10. Тухтасинов ИМ. Продуктивные Модели Сложных Слов, Обозначающих Внешние Признаки Человека В Современном Английском Языке. Молодой Ученый, 2010;(5-2):47-50.
11. Ugli AYR. Gastronomic Discourse: Linguoculturological and Translation Aspects. Academicia: An International Multidisciplinary Research Journal, 2021;11(8):62-66.
12. Аззамов ЮР. Гастрономик Компонентли Фразеологик Бирликларнинг Лингвомаданий Хусусиятлари. Международный Журнал Искусство Слова, 2021;4(5).
13. Sherzodovich AS, Kizi RZD. Interpretation And Written Translation: Related Learning. Interpretation, 2020;6(6).
14. Sherzodovich AS. The Role of Online Teaching and Innovative Methods. Science And Education, 2020;1(3):524-528.