Asian Journal of Multidimensional Research

ISSN: 2278-4853 Vol. 13, Issue 6, June 2024 SJIF 2022 = 8.179

A peer reviewed journal

COMPARATIVE STUDY OF ORPHANS AND NON-ORPHANS IN TERMS OF RESILIENCE

Dr. Rabia Aftab*

*Lecturer, Bath Spa University RAK UAE Email id: rabia@bathspa.ae

DOI: 10.5958/2278-4853.2024.00013.6

ABSTRACT

Orphans are children who are deprive from parents and feel isolated and lonely. The current study investigates the differences among orphans and non-orphans in terms of resilience. The samples of 50 orphans were collected from orphanages and 50 non-orphans were selected from different school of Aligarh UP India. T-test was used for the analyses. The result of the study revealed a significant difference between orphans and non-orphans in terms of resilience where orphans were found to be less resilient as compared to non-orphans.

KEYWORDS: Orphans, Resilience, Non-Orphans.

INTRODUCTION

Orphans are children who have lost one for both parents before the age of 18 years. Parents are important for healthy development of children, due to lack of parental care orphans reported psychological distress (Sahad et al., 2018). The study conducted by Bhat el. (2015) revealed various mental health problems among orphans such agrophobia, socialphobia, dysthymia, and generalized anxiety disorders. During the stressful time orphans feels lack lonely and thereby the reported emotional instability (Bhatt 2015). In comparison to non-orphans it was found that orphans had lower psychological well-being(S &Verma, 2016). The literature on orphans revealed that orphans have more psychological problems as compared to non-orphans. However, there are few researches on how orphans cope with adversities of life and how they are different from non-orphans in terms of resilience.

The ability to cope with adversities and challenges of life is called "Resilience".

The concept is delved from the strength-based approach of positive psychology (Seligman & Csikszentmihalyi, 2000) promoting the individual has the tendency to preserve their mental health and can cope with their adjustment disorders through several innate and acquirable personality traits.

Literature Review

The study conducted cheraghi et al. (2021) examined that hope and goals for future, confidence and self-esteem found as factors of resilience among adolescents. Moreover, peers and school support were also emerged as resilience factors.

Asian Journal of Multidimensional Research

ISSN: 2278-4853 Vol. 13, Issue 6, June 2024 SJIF 2022 = 8.179

A peer reviewed journal

Resilience were found to be positive related with emotional intelligence (Diazz et al. (2018),grit and self-regulation (Gupta and Sudesh2018,).

There is a study reported a positive relationship between resilience and psychological well-being (Vinayak & Judge, 2018).

The study conducted by Singh et al.(2019)aim to explore the socio-demographic factors associated with low resilience among adolescents. The results revealed the girls were almost twice the odds of being in the low resilience among all socio-demographic variables compared to boys. Other factors associated with low resilience were not being physically active, higher birth order, and having parents who were separated or divorced.

SIGNIFICANCE OF THE STUDY

The present study aims to see the level of resilience among orphans and non-orphans. The study also explored the difference among orphans and non-orphans in terms of resilience.

OBJECTIVES

To study the resilience among orphans and non-orphans

To explore the differences among orphans and non-orphans in terms of resilience.

METHOD:

For the purpose of the study, the data was collected through questionnaire method by using standardized scales as described below:

Connor-Davidson Resilience Scale (CD-RISC) - Short Version

The 10-item CD-RISC measures resilience with responses ranging from 0 (not true at all) to 4 (true nearly all the time), with higher scores indicating greater resilience. Developed by Connor and Davidson, the scale has a Cronbach Alpha of .825 in this study.

SAMPLE:

The study consists 50 orphans from different orphanages of Aligarh city an 50 Non-orphans from different schools of Aligarh city, India. The age range of participants are from 12 years to 18 years. In the total sample there were 50 males and 50 females.

Results and Discussion:

TABLE 1 SHOWS THE DEMOGRAPHIC DETAILS OF PARTICIPANTS

Variables	Category	Frequency
Gender	Males females	50 50
Parental status	Orphans Non-orphans	50 50
Age	13-15yrs 16-18yrs	50 50

Asian Journal of Multidimensional Research

ISSN: 2278-4853 Vol. 13, Issue 6, June 2024 SJIF 2022 = 8.179 A peer reviewed journal

TABLE 2 SHOWS THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN ORPHANS AND NON-ORPHANS ON RESILIENCE.

Group	N	Mean	SD	t-value	Sig.
Orphans	50	66.30	14.93	6.98	.000
Non-	50	87.36	16.71		
Orphans					

Table shows the resilience of orphans is significantly differs from non-orphans. The mean score of resilience of orphans (M=66.30) was found to be higher than the mean score of the non-orphans (87.36).

CONCLUSION

The present study was conducted to find out the differences between orphans and non-orphans in terms of resilience.

The findings indicated that orphans show lesser resilience tendencies as compared to the non-orphans

REFERENCES:

- **1.** Bhat, N, M. (2015). A study of emotional instability and depression in orphan secondary school students. International Journal of Education and Psychological Research, 3(2), 95-100.
- 2. Cheraghi, M,A., Fomani, F,K., Ebadi, A., Gartland, D., & Ghaedi,Y. (2021). Hope under the shadow of fear and uncertainty: Resilience factors among working adolescents. Nursing Practice Today, 8(2), 155-166.
- **3.** Connor, K. M., & Davidson, J. R. (2003). Development of a new resilience scale: The Connor Davidson resilience scale (CD-RISC). Depression and Anxiety, 18(2), 76-82
- **4.** Dias, P. C., &Cadime, I. (2017).). Protective factors and resilience in adolescents: The mediating role of self-regulation. Psicología Educativa, 23(1), 37-43. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.pse.2016.09.003
- **5.** Gupta, S., &Sudhesh, N. T. (2019). Grit, self-regulation and resilience among college football players: A pilot study. International Journal of Physiology, Nutrition and Physical Education, 4(1), 843-848.
- **6.** Seligman, E. P. M., & Csikszentmihalyi, M. (2000). Positive psychology. American Psychologist, 55, 5-14. http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/0003-066X.55.1.5
- 7. Singh,R., Mahota,S., Singh,B., Thapa,J., &Gartland,D. (2019). Resilience in Nepalese adolescents: Socio-demographic factors associated with low resilience. Journal of Multidisciplinary Healthcare 12, 893-902. https://doi.org/10.2147/JMDH.S226011
- **8.** Vinayak, S., & Judge, J. (2018). Resilience and empathy as predictors of psychological wellbeing among adolescents. International Journal of Health Sciences and Research. 8(4), 192–200.